

ADHERENCE TO TURKISH PSYCHIATRIC ASSOCIATION GUIDELINE FOR BIPOLAR DEPRESSION TREATMENT IN A SPECIALIZED MOOD DISORDERS OUTPATIENT UNIT

Kürşat Altınbaş¹, Danny Smith² & E. Timuçin Oral¹

¹Bakırköy Research and Training Hospital for Psychiatry, Neurology & Neurosurgery
Raşit Tahsin Mood Disorders Outpatient Unit, Istanbul, Turkey

²Department of Psychological Medicine and Neurology, MRC Center for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics
Cardiff University School of Medicine, Monmouth House (second floor), Cardiff, UK

received: 11.7.2010;

revised: 31.3.2011;

accepted: 20.5.2011

SUMMARY

Background: Bipolar patients spend up to one third of their lives in depression however, acute treatment guidelines mainly focused on the manic phase of illness. With recent attention to the importance of evidence-based medicine in psychiatry, a number of treatment guidelines have emerged to aid clinicians in clinical decision making. Here, we aim to measure concordance with the Turkish Psychiatric Association Treatment Guideline for Bipolar Disorders (TPATGBD) for the depressive phase of illness.

Subjects and Methods: Bipolar patients attending the Rasit Tahsin Mood Disorders Outpatient Unit of Istanbul Bakırköy Research and Training Hospital for Psychiatry, Neurology & Neurosurgery, were assessed using standardized forms based on a nation-wide mood disorders follow-up program. Concordance of implementations with the TPATGBD were evaluated step by step for each level of depression severity.

Results: Concordance rates with the first step recommendations of the guideline were 29.4%, 27.4% and 87.5% for mild-moderate, moderate-severe (without psychosis) and severe depression (with psychosis), respectively. Concordance rates with the second step recommendations of the guideline were lower for bipolar depressions without psychosis. Overall, adherence to the guideline did not impact on time to remission ($p=0.19$).

Conclusions: Despite considerable efforts to develop and disseminate evidence-based guidelines, they are not widely followed by clinicians and important opportunities clearly exist to educate clinicians about the feasibility and utility of clinical guidelines for bipolar disorder. Systematic studies in the future are required to clarify our understanding of clinicians' attitudes to the use of guidelines and to explain the discrepancy between guidelines and clinical practice.

Key words: treatment guidelines - bipolar depression - adherence

* * * * *

INTRODUCTION

Bipolar disorder is a serious mood disorder characterized by episodes of depression and episodes of mania or hypomania. It affects up to 3.5% of the population (Buckley 2008, Hirschfeld et al. 2003). Most patients with bipolar disorder experience depression as their first episode (Angst et al. 2000) and many will spend up to one third of their lives with significant depressive symptoms (Kupka et al. 2007). Treatment guidelines for bipolar disorder are mainly focused on the manic phase of the illness (Oral and Vahip 2004) but in recent years the increased availability of new treatment options for bipolar depression has necessitated the development of clinical guidelines and therapeutic algorithms for this phase of the illness (Divac et al. 2009, Yatham et al. 2006, Hirschfeld 2005). The development of these guidelines and algorithms has also been driven by increased cost-awareness and the increasing pressure to improve cost-efficiency (Divac et al. 2009). In 2003, the Turkish Psychiatric Association (TPA) published local Treatment Guidelines for Bipolar Disorders (BDTG) to enhance evidence-based care and improve outcomes (Vahip & Yazıcı 2003).

In this paper we aim to measure adherence to the Turkish Psychiatric Association Guidelines for Bipolar Depression Treatment within a specialized mood disorders outpatient unit.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Subjects

Bipolar patients attending the Rasit Tahsin Mood Disorders Outpatient Unit (RTMDU) of Istanbul Bakırköy Research and Training Hospital for Psychiatry, Neurology & Neurosurgery, were screened for the study. Available data from 263 depressive episodes in 142 patients between February 2003-January 2008 were assessed.

Method

All patients were assessed using standardized forms based on a nation-wide mood disorders follow-up program, SKIP-TURK (Tırpan et al. 2004). The treatments prescribed by clinicians for "mild/moderate bipolar depression", "moderate/severe bipolar depression (without psychosis)" and "severe bipolar

depression with psychotic features” were recorded. Inpatient data were excluded from these analysis as standardised data was not consistently available for these episodes. Concordance of prescribed treatments with the BDTG guideline was evaluated in a stepwise fashion for each level of depression severity.

Biometrics and statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 17 for Windows. Descriptive statistics are presented and, where appropriate, dimensional data (non-parametric) were compared using the mann-Whitney U test and categorical data were compared using the Chi-squared test.

Ethics

All patients signed written informed consents for follow-up and the study was approved by Institutional Board Review.

RESULTS

Clinical characteristics of the sample

Over two thirds of patients were female (69%, n=98) and most were diagnosed as having bipolar disorder, type I (92.3%, n=131). The mean age was 39.7 years and mean duration of bipolar illness was 15.9 years. The first ever episode of illness was depression for approximately half of the patients (52.3%, n=55) and almost ten percent of patients had a history of rapid cycling bipolar disorder (9.9%, n=14). The proportion of 263 depressive episodes in terms of severity was 77.6% (n=204) for mild/moderate depression, 19.4% (n=51) for moderate/severe depression without psychosis and 3% (n=8) for severe depression with psychotic features.

Evaluation of adherence to BDTG guideline for the treatment of bipolar depression

MILD /MODERATE BIPOLAR DEPRESSIVE EPISODES

More than half of mild or moderate depressive episodes (59.8%) remitted after the first step interventions, while the remission rates were 21.5% after second step interventions and 18.6% after third step interventions

Adherence to the first step recommendations:

Clinicians followed the first step recommendations of the BDTG guidelines in only one third of mild/moderate depressive episodes (29.4%). We found that clinicians more often added lamotrigine (LTG) (16.2%) to the current medication regimen instead of following the guideline’s first step recommendations (optimizing mood stabilizer levels (10.6%) or adding an antidepressant (14.8%)).

Adherence to second step recommendations:

More than half of the mild/moderate episodes (55%, n=60) remitted after following the first step recommendations. Clinicians added a new antidepressant (AD) agent for 25.6% of the remaining depressive episodes and overall the adherence rate was only 17% (n=14) for the second step recommendations (add an AD or try a different AD)

Adherence to third step recommendations: 42.8% of the mild/moderate episodes remitted after following the first and second step recommendations of the guideline (6/14). The most common treatments for the third step were adding an AD (21.1%), increasing AD dosage (15.8%) or adding a second generation antipsychotic (13.2%).

Overall, only a small number of mild/moderate bipolar depressive episodes (15.7%, n=6) were treated in full adherence (all three steps) with the guidelines.

MODERATE/SEVERE BIPOLAR DEPRESSIVE EPISODES (WITHOUT PSYCHOSIS)

Remission rates were 50.9% (n=26), 23.5% (n=12) and 25.6% (n=13) for the first, second and third step interventions, respectively.

Adherence to the first step recommendations: The most common treatment for moderate/severe bipolar depressive episodes were adding an AD (29.4%, n=15) or adding lamotrigine (13.7%, n=7). ECT was performed for just one moderate/severe depressive episode and this patient was hospitalized. Adherence rates with the guidelines was only 27.4% (n=14) for the first-step recommendations (adding an AD and waiting for 3-4 weeks or applying ECT).

Adherence to second step recommendations: Half of the depressive episodes remitted following the first-step recommendations of the guidelines. Only two of the depressive episodes were treated according to the second step recommendations of the guidelines (8%). Clinicians commonly preferred adding an AD (28%), increasing the AD dosage (16%), adding a second generation antipsychotic or lamotrigine (24%).

Adherence to third step recommendations: Two of the episodes remitted after following the first and second step recommendations of the guideline. We found that clinicians prescribed AD (15.4%) or lamotrigine (15.4%) for the remainder of these depressive episodes (n=13).

SEVERE BIPOLAR DEPRESSIVE EPISODES (WITH PSYCHOTIC FEATURES)

Nearly all of the patients (7/8) with severe bipolar depressive episodes and psychotic features were taking lithium. The adherence rate with the guidelines was 87.5% (7/8) and 42.8% of these episodes remitted after the application of first-step recommendations (lithium+AD combination, lithium+AD+antipsychotic combination or ECT). We found that clinicians followed the second-step recommendations of the guidelines (lithium+antipsychotic+different AD or ECT) for all

episodes (n=4) and that these episodes remitted. Only one depressive episode did not remit after second step interventions without adherence to guideline and this

patient was hospitalized for this episode.

Overall, adherence to the guideline did not impact on time to remission (p=0.19, Table 3).

Table 1. Recommendations of TPA guideline for bipolar depression

Mild-Moderate	Moderate-Severe (without psychosis)	Severe (with psychosis)	Mild-Moderate
First Step Recommendation	Li optimization Li+AD	Li+AD ECT	Li+AD Li+AD+SGA ECT
Second Step Recommendation	Li+ different AD	Li+different AD ECT	Li+different AD+SGA ECT
Third Step Recommendation	Li+AD combination Li+LTG MS+SGA	Li+AD combination Li+LTG MS+SGA	Li+AD combination +SGA Li+LTG MS+SGA ECT

Li:Lithium, AD:Antidepressant, SGA:Second Generation Antipsychotic, ECT: Electroconvulsive Therapy, LTG:Lamotrigine

Table 2. Rates of adherence to guideline for the treatment of bipolar depression

Severity of episode	1 st step		2 nd step		3 rd step	
	(%)*	n	(%)*	n	(%)	n
Mild/Moderate	29.4	60	17.0	14	15.7	6
Moderate/Severe (without psychosis)	27.4	14	8.0	7	-	-
Severe (with psychosis)	87.5	7	100	4	-	-

*Percentages reflect the proportion of the adherence rates within the each level of depression such as mild/moderate, moderate/severe without psychosis and severe with psychosis

Table 3. Comparison of time to remission

Adherence to guideline	n	Mean (week)	Standard Deviation	p
Yes	55	8.65	10.96	0.190
No	169	6.62	5.60	0.190

DISCUSSION

To date there are very few studies which have assessed adherence to national treatment guidelines for bipolar disorder in clinical practice. Recently, Divac et al. (2009) conducted a survey at the Institute of Psychiatry, Clinical Centre of Serbia and about 65% of psychiatrists who responded stated that they adhered to the national or relevant international therapeutic guidelines (although obviously it would be interesting to see if this was actually the case in practice). In a small survey of clinicians in the United States Perlis et al (Perlis 2007) found that among 312 respondents 64.1% reported making routine use of treatment guidelines during clinical decisions, 19.6% were using American Psychiatric Association treatment guidelines while 33.0% reported using all published guidelines. These concordance rates are higher than our results in which we have found that only one third of clinicians followed TPA BDTG for depressive episodes without psychosis. The concordance rate with the guidelines for severe depression with psychosis in our study was much higher than rates of other episodes (although the number of severe psychotic depressive episodes was small (n=8)). We might therefore conclude that clinicians tend to

follow recommendations of guidelines only for severe situations or alternatively relatively frequent second generation antipsychotic prescription might explain this high concordance rate for severe depression with psychosis.

In the last few years, several studies have suggested that some of the second generation antipsychotics are effective for the treatment of bipolar depression (Cruz et al. 2009) and quetiapine has recently been approved by the FDA for this purpose (Thase et al. 2006, Calabrese et al. 2005). Second generation antipsychotics were recommended as first-line treatment choice in psychotic depression of TPA guidelines as it was published in 2003. The TPA are currently updating these guidelines and presumably quetiapine may be one of their first-line recommendations.

The most common first-step implementation of clinicians for bipolar depression treatment without considering concordance with guidelines was adding an AD (21.3%). Although antidepressants were usually prescribed for bipolar depressive episodes, the effectiveness of them has been largely inferred from studies of unipolar depression. Calabrese and his colleagues (2004), reported that antidepressant monotherapy continues to be the most common

treatment for bipolar I depression, despite little or no evidence proving its efficacy. In early studies antidepressants have been shown to have some positive effects but, recent large-scale studies have found that antidepressants have only little (if any) benefit for the treatment of bipolar disorder (Keck 2007). In the Systematic Treatment Enhancement Program for Bipolar Disorder (STEP-BD) Sachs et al (2007), reported that adjunctive antidepressant treatment for bipolar depression neither improved depressive symptoms nor increased the risk of affective switch into mania. In contrast to this, AD agents, particularly SSRIs, are still recommended for the first line treatment with a mood stabilizer within the TPA and other international guidelines (Yatham et al. 2006, Hirschfeld 2005).

The Agency for Health Care Policy and Research Depression Guideline Panel emphasised that guidelines should be geared to: (i) optimize symptom reduction in a majority of patients, (ii) assist physicians to make more informed decisions (AHCP 1993a, AHCP 1993b). Grimshaw and Russell (1993) concluded that when guidelines are systematically implemented, most have significant, positive clinical effects, although the magnitude of their effects can vary. The assumption overall is that use of guidelines will improve clinical outcomes and/or cost efficiency, and that greater adherence to guidelines should potentiate that effect. However, in our study we found that adherence to the guideline for treatment of bipolar depression did not have any impact on time to remission ($p=0,19$). It is interesting to note that Dennehy et al. (2005) reported that increased adherence to Texas Medical Algorithm recommendations was associated with larger decreases in overall psychiatric symptoms and depressive symptoms over time, but did not impact either immediate or long-term reductions in manic symptoms.

A number of factors may affect clinician adherence to treatment guidelines. Shaneyfelt et al. (1999) indicated that the reasons physicians do not follow guidelines fell into different categories, including: lack of awareness, lack of agreement, lack of belief that outcomes will be affected by use of guidelines, and lack of familiarity. Many interventions have been proposed to enhance adherence to treatment guidelines, including education, continuous quality improvement, feedback methods, opinion leaders, reminders, incentives and penalties, and computerized systems. While different versions of these interventions have been effective in some studies, none are unequivocally superior, and many involve complex interventions and/or substantial cost (Dennehy et al. 2004). Computerized assessments may represent an improvement over other assessment methods, and preliminary findings using this approach indicate that this system of guideline adherence monitoring is feasible (Dennehy et al. 2004). In our study clinicians were not formally trained to follow guidelines, but they were all interested in this study and also very experienced in the management of mood

disorders. We can speculate that most of them were also aware of the TPA guideline recommendations.

There are some limitations of this study. First, patients were selected from a homogeneous data pool and data were evaluated retrospectively, even though we used a semistructured form (SKIPTURK) for the clinical interviews. Second, we did not consider the type of bipolar disorders so the results may not reflect the whole bipolar spectrum. Third, we did not evaluate clinicians' attitudes to prescription, their basic knowledge and their level of awareness of guidelines.

CONCLUSION

Overall, these results suggest that, despite considerable efforts to develop and disseminate evidence-based guidelines, they are not widely followed by clinicians and important opportunities clearly exist to educate clinicians about the feasibility and utility of clinical guidelines for bipolar disorder. It is still unclear why such a discrepancy between practice and guidelines persists. Systematic studies in the future are required to clarify our understanding clinicians' attitudes to the use of guidelines, as well as the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of implementing guidelines.

REFERENCES

1. Agency for Health Care Policy and Research Depression Guideline Panel. Clinical practice guideline 5: depression in primary care: Volume 1. detection and diagnosis: AHCP publication 93-0550. 1993a Rockville, MD: US Dept. of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service.
2. Agency for Health Care Policy and Research Depression Guideline Panel. Clinical practice guideline. depression in primary care: Vol. 2. treatment of major depression, AHCP Publication 93-0551. 1993b Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service.
3. Angst J & Sellaro R. Historical perspectives and natural history of bipolar disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2000; 48:445-457.
4. Buckley PF. Update on the treatment and management of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *CNS Spectr.* 2008; 13(Suppl 1):1-10; quiz 11-2
5. Calabrese JR, Kasper S, Johnson G, Tajima O, Vieta E, Yatham LN & Young AH. International Consensus Group on Bipolar I Depression Treatment Guidelines. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2004; 65:571-579.
6. Calabrese JR, Keck PE Jr, Macfadden W, Minkwitz M, Ketter TA, Weisler RH et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of quetiapine in the treatment of bipolar I or II depression. *Am J Psychiatry* 2005; 162:1351-1360.
7. Cruz N, Sanchez-Moreno J, Torres F, Goikolea JM, Valenti M, Vieta E. Efficacy of modern antipsychotics in placebo controlled trials in bipolar depression: a meta-analysis. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 2009; 29: 1-10.
8. Dennehy EB, Suppes T, Rush AJ, Crismon ML, Witte B, Webster J. Development of a computerized assessment of clinician adherence to a treatment guideline for patients

- with bipolar disorder. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 2004;38:285–294.
9. Dennehy EB, Suppes T, Rush AJ, Miller AL, Trivedi MH, Crismon ML, et al. Does provider adherence to a treatment guideline change clinical outcomes for patients with bipolar disorder? Results from the Texas Medication Algorithm Project. *Psychol Med* 2005; 35:1695-1706.
 10. Divac N, Marić NP, Damjanović A, Jovanović AA, Jasović-Gasić M, Prostran M. Use or underuse of therapeutic guidelines in psychiatry? *Psychiatr Danub* 2009; 21:224-229.
 11. Grimshaw JM & Russell IT. Effect of clinical guidelines on medical practice: a systematic review of rigorous evaluations. *Lancet* 1993;342:1317–1322.
 12. Hirschfeld RM, Lewis L & Vornik LA. Perceptions and impact of bipolar disorder: How far have we really come? Results of the national depressive and manic-depressive association 2000 survey of individuals with bipolar disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2003; 64:161-174.
 13. Hirschfeld R. *Guideline Watch for the Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients With Bipolar Disorder*. 2005. American Psychiatric Association, Arlington, VA.
 14. Keck PE Jr, McIntyre RS & Shelton RC. Bipolar depression: best practices for the outpatient. *CNS Spectr*: 2007; 12(Suppl 20):1-14
 15. Kupka RW, Altshuler LL, Nolen WA, Suppes T, Luckenbaugh DA, Leverich GS et al. Three times more days depressed than manic or hypomanic in both bipolar I and bipolar II disorder. *Bipolar Disorders* 2007; 9:531–535.
 16. Oral ET & Vahip S. Bipolar depression: an overview. *IDrugs*. 2004; 7:846-50.
 17. Perlis RH. Use of treatment guidelines in clinical decision making in bipolar disorder: a pilot survey of clinicians. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2007; 23:467-475.
 18. Sachs GS, Nierenberg AA, Calabrese JR, Marangell LB, Wisniewski SR, Gyulai L et al. Effectiveness of adjunctive antidepressant treatment for bipolar depression. *N Engl J Med*. 2007; 26;356:1711-1722.
 19. Shaneyfelt TM, Mayo-Smith MF & Rothwangl J. Are guidelines following guidelines? The methodological quality of clinical practice guidelines in the peer-reviewed medical literature. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 1999; 281:1900–1905.
 20. Thase ME, Macfadden W, Weisler RH, Chang W, Paulsson B, Khan A, Calabrese JR. Efficacy of quetiapine monotherapy in bipolar I and II depression: a double-blind, placebo controlled study(the BOLDER II Study). *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2006; 26:600-609.
 21. Tirpan K, Ozerdem A, Tunca Z, Yazıcı O, Oral ET, Kurt E, Vahip S. A Computerized Registry Program for Bipolar Illness in Turkey. *J Affective Disorders* March 2004; 78(sup1):142.
 22. Vahip S & Yazıcı O. *Turkish Psychiatric Association Bipolar Disorders Treatment Guideline*. 1st edition, April 2003, Istanbul, Okuyan Us Press,(in Turkish).
 23. Yatham LN, Kennedy SH, O'Donovan C, Parikh SV, MacQueen G, McIntyre RS et al. *Canadian Network for Mood and Anxiety Treatments (CANMAT) guidelines for the management of patients with bipolar disorder: update 2007*. *Bipolar Disord*. 2006; 8:721–739.

Correspondence:

Kürşat Altınbaş, MD, Psychiatrist
Bakırköy Research and Training Hospital for Psychiatry, Neurology & Neurosurgery
Raşit Tahsin Mood Disorders Outpatient Unit, Istanbul, Turkey
E-mail: kursataltinbas@gmail.com