BIPOLAR II DISORDER AND BORDERLINE PERSONALITY DISORDER - CO-MORBIDITY OR SPECTRUM?
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SUMMARY

We assess the number of patients who we have on the Database of a Community Mental Health Team in the UK who have Bipolar Disorder and Borderline Personality Disorder. We report how many of these have been seen as having both disorders. Hence we discuss the issue as to whether Borderline Personality disorder is to be placed within the bipolar spectrum. We note the difficulties regarding the use of phenomenology alone to decide this problem, and we note the similarities in genetics, neuroimaging observations and neurobiological mechanisms among the following conditions; Bipolar Disorder, Unipolar Depression, Post-traumatic Stress Disorder, and Borderline Personality Disorder. Ethologies such as Trauma, Abuse, Childhood adversity and exposure to War appear to influence all these conditions via epigenetic mechanisms. Hence we argue that for a spectrum to be proposed, conditions in the spectrum need to be underpinned by similar or common Neuroimaging and neurobiological mechanisms. On this basis, it may be reasonable to include Borderline Personality Disorder within a broadly described bipolar spectrum. New details of the common Neurobiological mechanisms continue to emerge.
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INTRODUCTION

It has frequently been suggested that Bipolar II Disorder and Borderline Personality disorder could be seen as both being part of the bipolar spectrum, while many papers have been written to suggest that Borderline Personality disorder is often co-morbid with Bipolar II Affective disorder. Clearly, being part of a spectrum of disease implies a much closer relationship between the two entities than a comorbidity, which simply implies the co-existence of two diseases in the same patient but with no particular relationship between them (e.g. diabetes mellitus and depression occurring in the same patient). On the other hand, being part of a spectrum suggests that diseases may be very closely related, perhaps by being caused by similar sets of genes (Bipolar disorder and schizophrenia in the ‘schizophrenia spectrum), by the possibility of one disease transmuting over time into another (Unipolar Depression, Cyclothymia, Bipolar II and Bipolar I Disorder), or at the very least the illnesses are very similar to each other phenomenologically (Bipolar II and Bipolar I disorder).

We have attempted to determine from the database of the patients held within our team how many of our patients have Bipolar II disorder and Borderline Personality disorder coexisting.

Patients with Bipolar II disorder will have episodes of Hypomania, lasting 4 days at least, alternating with episodes of Depression which last for a longer period of time, often several weeks (DSM 4 1994). Patients with borderline personality disorder will have the following symptoms; Mood Instability, Suicidal Behaviour, Chronic feeling of emptiness, Relationship instability, fear of abandonment, chronic feeling of boredom, high emotional ‘charge’, short episodes of psychosis, Impulsiveness, disturbance of Image or Identity (Zaman 2000). None of these symptoms are pathognomonic of any condition; indeed, Suicidal Behaviour, Chronic feeling of emptiness, Relationship instability, fear of abandonment, and chronic feeling of boredom may be present in many patients with depression, and issues regarding identity can be present in other psychiatric illnesses. Patients with bipolar I disorder may have psychotic symptoms, and it is well known that patients with bipolar II and Bipolar I are also at high risk of suicide.

On the other hand, it is clear that Mood Instability is not the same thing as episodes of Hypomania, since mood instability can imply changes of mood from euthymic to depressed and back to euthymic again, while Hypomania is clearly defined by DSM4 as episodes of high mood lasting four days (although there can be shorter episodes of high mood, so that many patients with episodes of high mood are seen as sub-syndromal). It has been argued that (Bradford Reich 2012) mood lability including anxiety, anger, discomfort and irritability characterises borderline patients, (Elisei 2012) while lability involving elation, as we have described, is more characteristic of bipolar patients (Bradford Reich 2012, Elisei 2012).

What we have done is apply the definition of hypomania as 4 days of elevated mood lasting at least 4
days to the patients with generic mood instability in the
database of our patients, thus identifying patients who
have hypomania, and thus have bipolar II disorder, and
thus identifying how many patients who were pre-
viously thought of as ‘Borderline’ can also be inter-
preted on the basis of hypomania to have bipolar II.
Those who have other symptoms of borderline Disorder
as well as hypomania where then seen as having co-
morbid borderline Disorder and Bipolar II Disorder.

RESULTS

Our Database contains records of all the patients
who have been treated in Bedfard East Community
Mental Health Team since 2006. The total Number is
1175 patients. Of these 280 have been identified as
having Bipolar Affective disorder, Borderline Perso-
nality Disorder or both conditions simultaneously.
Of these 107 have Bipolar I Disorder. 88 had Bipolar II
Affective Disorder. 75 patients were diagnosed with
Borderline Personality Disorder.

8 Patients had Bipolar II Disorder co-morbid with
Borderline Personality Disorder.1 Patient had Bipolar I
Affective Disorder co-morbid with Borderline Perso-
nality Disorder, and two patients were reported as
having Comorbid schizoaffective disorder (arguably
very severe bipolar I disorder with psychosis) and
Borderline Personality disorder.

Thus Co-morbid Bipolar Disorder and Borderline
Personality Disorder represents a small but easily iden-
tifiable group of patients in our practice, representing
5.64% of all bipolar patients and 14.66% of borderline
patients. Clearly more patients with borderline perso-
nality disorder can be argued to be bipolar than the other
way round. Indeed there are two other factors operating
here; one is that we have only begun identifying bipolar
patients with the strict criteria of clear hypomanic
episodes over the last four years, and patients admitted
to the database earlier would not have been diagnosed in
the same way, so that patients, over the last four years
will have definitely been diagnosed with Bipolar or Co-
morbid Bipolar and Borderline based on clearly
identified episodes of Hypomania. Previously the
criteria would not have been so strict, and possibly
mood instability and hypomania may have been
confused, increasing the likelihood of patients being
diagnosed as borderline disorder- by the same token,
previously to four years ago, only patients with Bipolar
I Diagnosis would have been identified as bipolar in our
practice. The second issue is that doctors who were only
temporarily attached to our team, such as registrars may
not have identified patients as Bipolar II using the strict
DSM criteria of bipolar which the permanent staff were
using.

DISCUSSION

Akiskal has suggested that borderline personality
disorder could be better understood as part of the bipolar
Angst (2007) has argued for a bipolar spectrum in
which patients with unipolar depression change to
bipolar disorder at a regular rate over time suggested
that borderline personality disorder may in some way
relate to the bipolar spectrum, but left open the way in
which Borderline patients should be related to the
spectrum.

Since borderline disorder can often be comorbid
with and share the same symptomatology with
affective disorders, and this comorbidity is quite
common (Elisei 2012), there is constant discussion
about the relationship between borderline disorder and
the bipolar spectrum including bipolar II disorder (Paris
2007, Elisei 2012). Our figure of 14.66% of patients
with Borderline Personality Disorder also being co-
morbid with bipolar disorder fits with the various
studies which have reported that 12-23% of patients
with BDII meet criteria for BPD as well (Paselow 1995,
Vieta 1999, Benazzi 2000, Elisei 2012). It is, however
lower than Chantal’s report of from 35 to 51.5%
(Chantal 2010, Elisei 2012).

In order to justify the inclusion of Borderline
Personality Disorder in the Bipolar Spectrum, it has
been suggested that that the overlap between BPD and
mood disorders was caused by the item “affective
instability” and that “impulsivity” could be its “essential
feature” (Benazzi 2008). Hence it has been suggested
that when attempting to find an association between
hypomania symptoms and BPD traits, the only
significant association was between “The episodic
impulsivity of hypomania and the trait impulsivity of
BPD” (Benazzi 2008).

Alternatively, It has been suggested that Bipolar II
Disorder be divided into two subtypes: one stable and
functional between episodes and one unstable between
episodes which is related to Borderline Personality
Disorder (Benazzi 2000). This would enable most or all
Borderline patients to be included in the Bipolar
spectrum under the heading of the type of Bipolar II
disorder which is unstable between episodes.

However, one relationship between bipolar disorder
(Hajek 2012, Hajek 2012) and borderline personality
disorder (Soloff 2008, Sato 2012, Driessen 2000,
Brambilla 2004, Nunes 2009, Ruocco 2012) can be deri-
ved from Neuroimaging techniques. Both these condi-
tions, together with Untreated Depression (MacMaster
2008, Sheline 2003) and Post Traumatic Stress Disorder
(Admon 2012, Bremner 1995, Brenner 1999, Bremner
2006, Bremner 2007, Bremner 2006, Bremner 1997,
Vythilingam 2002, Vietti 2002) are conditions in
which the Hippocampus is relatively small on MRI. The
Amigdala are also involved (Saleh 2012). This may
suggest that there are important biological similarities
underlying these conditions. Indeed there is evidence
that the size of the Hippocampus can be improved in all
of these conditions by treatments (SSRIs, Lithium,
Valproate) which promote neurogenesis.
Soloff described the anatomical changes in Borderline Personality Disorder as follows; Compared with Healthy Controls, Borderline Personality Disorder subjects had significant bilateral reductions in gray matter concentrations in ventral cingulate gyrus and several regions of the medial temporal lobe, including the hippocampus, amygdala, parahippocampal gyrus, and uncus (Soloff 2008). Borderline Personality Disorder women (and abused Borderline Personality Disorder women), but not Borderline Personality Disorder men, had significant reductions in medial temporal lobe, including the amygdale (Soloff 2008). Borderline Personality Disorder men, but not Borderline Personality Disorder women, showed diminished gray matter concentrations in the anterior cingulate gyrus compared with findings in Healthy Controls (Soloff 2008). Diminished gray matter in the prefrontal cortex and the medial temporal cortex may mediate the dysregulation of impulse and affect in Borderline personality disorder (Soloff 2008). This would explain Benazzi’s concept of “affective instability” and “impulsivity” being related to and specifically distinguishing Borderline disorder (Benazzi 2008), and equally his description of a form of bipolar disorder which is unstable between episodes and related to Borderline Personality Disorder (Benazzi 2000).

Borderline patients also have smaller amygdala volumes (Driessen 2000, Nunes 2009), reported increased size of the Putamen has been attributed possibly to substance abuse which occurs in many of these patients (Brambilla 2004).

Sheline describes reduced Hippocampal Volume in patients with untreated depression (Sheline 2003). Longer durations during which depressive episodes went untreated with antidepressant medication were associated with reductions in hippocampal volume (Sheline 2003). Hippocampal volume decrease associated with illness burden is among the most replicated findings in unipolar depression. In Bipolar Disorder, until recently, this could not be demonstrated in bipolar illness/depression. Preserved hippocampal volumes in most studies of participants with bipolar disorder may reflect potential neuroprotective effects of lithium (Hajek 2012). Hajek has recently been able to compare patients with bipolar illness on lithium with those not on lithium and with controls (Hajek 2012). Both the left and right hippocampal volumes were significantly larger in the Li group than in controls or the non-Li group, which had smaller left and right hippocampal volumes than the control group (Hajek 2012). Imaging studies in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) have found smaller volume of the hippocampus as measured with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in patients with PTSD related to both combat and childhood abuse (Brenner 2006). Brain areas implicated in the stress response include the amygdala, the hippocampus, and the prefrontal cortex (Brenner 2007), and the amygdala and prefrontal cortex are also involved in Depression.

Equally, we have previously discussed how stress, working through the hypothalamo-pituitary axis, working through Cortisol levels and Glucocorticoid receptors, influences both the size of the hippocampus (Fredl 2012) and the balance between the trophic (BDNF, and, in Bipolar Disorder BCL-2 and BAG-1) and atrophic factors in the cells, thus producing a common mechanism in all conditions whereby stress is a causative factor in all of them (Goh 2010). From a genetic point of view, the principle that the major ‘psychotic’ mental illnesses are caused by many genes each of small effect (Craddock 2005) relates to all the illnesses we are considering, and some of these, such as the SERT gene, the COMT gene and the BDNF gene, with their polymorphisms, are clearly common to all the conditions under consideration. More genes are constantly being evaluated. Furthermore there is now evidence, that epigenetic regulation of genes can link depression, childhood adversity, and suicidality, all of which are linked with the conditions we are describing (Turecki 2012, Pregel 2011, Labonte 2010, Autry 2009, Klempan 2009).

It is worth noting also that recent studies of persons who have suffered abuse or ‘childhood adversity, or even war trauma and who suffer from Depression and a propensity to depression, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, and Borderline Personality Disorder demonstrate similar findings in terms of neuroimaging – e.g. smaller Hippocampus- and pathophysiological responses to stress (Driessen 2000, Admon 2012, Brenner 1995, Brenner 1997, Vythilingam 2002, Everaerd 2012).

Hence, all of these conditions have substantially similar anatomical and Physiological correlates.

The heterogeneity of mood disorders indicates that its origin may lie in dysfunction of multiple brain regions (amygdala, nucleus accumbens, hippocampus, prefrontal cortex and cingulate cortex) (Crupi 2011). Furthermore, Neurogenesis in the Hippocampus, promoted by SSRIs and Lithium, can help reverse the reduction in size of the Hippocampus in all of these conditions (Vermetten 2003, Hajek 2012, O’Leary 2012, Crupi 2011).

These last observations, demonstrating similar neuroscientific findings- that is comparable Neuroimaging and comparable relationship with neurogenesis, combined with the clinical observations above seem to us to be the best argument for including Borderline Personality Disorder in a spectrum of illness ranging from depression to Bipolar I Disorder. The controversy about this will doubtless continue for some years, but it seems that Borderline Personality Disorder should only be considered a separate diagnosis once patients have been properly assessed for Bipolar II Disorder and this diagnosis has been ruled out. However, having reviewed the literature, we can welcome the suggestion that Borderline Personality Disorder could be included into the bipolar spectrum, and indeed we would welcome in general the idea of a classification of mental illness based on the concept of spectra as being more rational.
and describing better what we observe in practice, however, having reviewed the Neurobiological mechanisms described above, we suggest that phenomenology without underpinning common neurobiological mechanisms are insufficient to demonstrate a spectrum of disease. Indeed we would propose a dictum; No spectrum without common or related neurobiological mechanisms.
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