CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE RISK FACTORS IN PATIENTS WITH POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER (PTSD): A NARRATIVE REVIEW
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SUMMARY
Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a chronic condition related to severe stress and trauma. There is a mounting evidence about increased prevalence and mortality from cardiovascular diseases (CVD) in patients with PTSD. This review summarizes the current data on possible relations between PTSD and increased risks of CVD, including biological, psychological and behavioral factors. Biological factors refer to increased prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MetS), hypertension, elevation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and homocysteine levels. Peripheral Brain-derived neurotropic factor (BDNF), serum N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) and quantitative electroencephalogram (qEEG) are promising surrogate markers of increased cardiovascular risk. Among psychological factors, some personality traits, such as neuroticism and trait impulsivity/hostility, contribute to the development of PTSD, and are associated with general cardiovascular distress. Recently, type-D (distressed) personality is usually investigated in relation to cardiovascular morbidity, but in populations other than PTSD patients. Behavioral factors refer to unhealthy life-styles, encompassing high smoking rate, drug substances abuse and addiction, physical inactivity and unhealthy diet. The relationships among all these factors are complex and yet incompletely taken into consideration. Because of a high prevalence of CVD in patients with PTSD, there is a strong need for a more intensive focus on this vulnerable population in both primary and secondary cardiovascular prevention as well as in effective treatment possibilities.
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INTRODUCTION

Experiencing severe stress during traumatic events may lead to posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). It is a prevalent, chronic, and often disabling state, characterized by re-experiencing, avoidance, and hyperarousal symptoms. It has significant impact on public health, including high levels of comorbidity with other psychiatric disorders, e.g., mood and anxiety disorders, substance abuse, personality disorders (Goldstein et al. 2016).

Lifetime prevalence of PTSD in general population is reported to be from 1.8% in China (Guo et al. 2016) up to 6.1% in the United States (Goldstein et al. 2016, Kessler et al. 2005). Development of PTSD is highly complex and multifactorial, and is caused by a combination of individual vulnerability (e.g., genetics, childhood experiences, personality traits), social-ecological factors (e.g., post-deployment family and social support) and the extent of the intensity, chronicity and number of traumatic events (DiGangi et al. 2013, Jakovljević et al. 2012). War is one of the most severe stressors inhuman life, and the prevalence of PTSD among active duty military personnel and war veterans is particularly high (Gates et al. 2012). For example, after the Homeland War in Croatia, the number of persons diagnosed with war-related PTSD was 32,000, with some research suggesting around 18% prevalence of PTSD among individuals affected by the Croatian war (Priebe et al. 2010), and up to 33% among ex-Yugoslavia war refugees (Bogic et al. 2012).

In addition to numerous psychopathological and societal consequences of PTSD, this disorder increases the possibility of developing somatic illnesses, namely musculoskeletal, gastrointestinal, dermatological, pulmonary, metabolic, and, most notably, cardiovascular diseases (CVD) (Britvić et al. 2015, Pacella et al. 2013). Given these serious implications, the aim of this paper is to provide a comprehensive overview of the up-to-date research of the associations between PTSD and various biological, psychological and behavioral CVD risk factors. Thus, we would like to promote a need for an integrative clinical approach and treatment of PTSD (Jakovljević et al. 2012) and stimulate some further research in this challenging field.
POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER: EMERGING RISK FACTORS FOR CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE AND HIGHER MORTALITY

Patients with PTSD have a higher mortality from multiple causes compared with normal population (Boscarino 2006), particularly from heart disease (Boscarino 2008). American war veterans with PTSD, 55 years and older, had increased risk for CVD generally, and in particular myocardial infarction, and peripheral vascular disease as well as congestive heart failure, compared to veterans without PTSD (Beristianos et al. 2016). Similarly, in a sample of 501 Croatian war veterans suffering from PTSD, aged between 36 and 65 years, the incidence of arterial hypertension, cardiac arrhythmias and myocardial infarction was significantly higher compared to a healthy control group (Britvić et al. 2015). It is now well-established that PTSD is independently associated with increased risk for coronary artery disease and related mortality (Edmondson & Cohen 2013, Edmondson et al. 2013). Moreover, among veterans treated in an outpatient clinic, those with PTSD had worse indicators of endothelial function (Grenon et al. 2014). In particular, myocardial infarction was significantly higher compared to normal values over four times the normal, do have significant structural and functional heart disease, most patients with levels above normal, and almost all with symptoms were more likely to meet diagnostic criteria for MetS (Heppner et al. 2009). Hypertension was the most frequent component of MetS in veterans with PTSD, with the prevalence of alarmingly high 77% (Rosenbaum et al. 2015), which was significantly higher for an average prevalence of hypertension in general population of about 40%. In civilian subjects with PTSD, severity of cardiovascular symptoms was positively correlated with body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, fibrinogen, and leptin values, and negatively correlated with insulin sensitivity (Farr et al. 2015).

Unlike in other many populations of war veterans, studies on CVD risk factors in Croatian war veterans are limited. A study on 69 treatment-resistant Croatian war veterans with PTSD has reported that those patients may be more prone to increased LDL-C concentrations, compared to healthy controls (Jergović et al. 2015). A small study has revealed increased platelet aggregation with PTSD revealed a greater number of hemodynamic changes compared to a control group, mostly due to vasoconstriction, fibrinogen, and leptin values, and negatively correlated with insulin sensitivity (Farr et al. 2015).

The link between PTSD and increased CVD risk appears to be complex and incompletely understood, but might be related to various and interconnected biological, psychological and behavioral factors associated with PTSD.

Biological factors

Biological factors involve high blood pressure, metabolic syndrome (MetS), and chronic sympathetic hyperactivity which leads to hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) dysregulation, elevation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and C-reactive protein (CRP) (Kibler et al. 2014), while increased homocysteine and decreased brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) levels might also play a significant role. There are many other potential pathophysiological pathways that are reflecting in appropriate biological adaptation on chronic stress which is inherent to the PTSD. Cardiovascular side effects of psychopharmacologic treatment (see Potocnjak et al. 2016) may also increase cardiovascular disease risk in patients with PTSD.

PTSD, MetS and lipid levels

It is well-known that MetS and its components are risk factors for CVD. A recent meta-analysis reported that subjects with PTSD had an almost double increased risk for MetS compared to general population (Rosenbaum et al. 2015). This finding was consistent across different geographical regions, socio-economic settings or populations (Rosenbaum et al. 2015).

War veterans with higher severity of PTSD symptoms were more likely to meet diagnostic criteria for MetS (Heppner et al. 2009). Hypertension was the most frequent component of MetS in veterans with PTSD, with the prevalence of alarmingly high 77% (Rosenbaum et al. 2015), which was significantly higher for an average prevalence of hypertension in general population of about 40%. In civilian subjects with PTSD, severity of cardiovascular symptoms was positively correlated with body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, fibrinogen, and leptin values, and negatively correlated with insulin sensitivity (Farr et al. 2015).

PTSD and CRP

In the most recent meta-analysis of 12 studies, higher CRP at baseline was associated with greater risk of all-cause mortality and CVD mortality (Barron et al. 2015). Several studies reported an association between PTSD and elevated CRP levels (Canetti et al. 2014, Farr et al. 2015), particularly with re-experiencing CVD and denying symptoms (Canetti et al. 2014), and a correlation with CVD manifestations with overall PTSD severity (Farr et al. 2015, Michopoulos et al. 2015).

PTSD and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP)

Serum N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) is a sensitive marker of myocardial dysfunction. While normal levels of NT-proBNP exclude significant structural and functional heart disease, most subjects with levels above normal, and almost all with values over four times the normal, do have significant myocardial dysfunction (Galasko et al. 2005). Among neuropsychiatric disorders, NT-proBNP levels were investigated in dementia. Higher NT-proBNP levels
were accompanying dementia, both vascular (Mirza et al. 2016) and Alzheimer type (Marksteiner et al. 2014, Mirza et al. 2016). Higher NT-proBNP levels have been found also in patients with mild cognitive impairment (Marksteiner et al. 2014). However, there is no data on NT-proBNP levels in patients with other psychiatric disorders, including PTSD. Given the high incidence of different heart diseases and CV risk factors in subjects with PTSD, measuring serum NT-proBNP levels in this vulnerable population might help diagnose subclinical myocardial disease that could lead to the heart failure or damask dyspnea and exercise intolerance as a consequence of a damaged myocardium.

**PTSD and homocysteine**

Higher homocysteine concentrations in plasma are associated with increased CV mortality risk in various populations (Barron et al. 2015). For example, schizophrenia and bipolar disorder patients with hyperhomocysteinemia had 8.4 times higher chance to suffer from metabolic syndrome (MetS) compared to patients with normal homocysteine levels (Vuksan-Čusa et al. 2011).

Increased homocysteine levels in patients with PTSD were consistently found in three cross-sectional studies with small sample sizes (n=28-66). Mixed sample of male and female PTSD patients revealed elevated homocysteine levels compared to controls, in spite of normal levels of folate, vitamin B6 and B12 (de Vries et al. 2015). Those high levels could not have been explained by comorbid depression. Increased homocysteine levels were detected in non-smoking PTSD patients in a study on 66 Croatian war veterans, while there were no differences in serum lipid levels between war veterans and controls (Jendriko et al. 2009). In addition, increased serum homocysteine levels were also reported in 28 male patients with PTSD (Levine et al. 2008). In this study, the duration of PTSD symptoms predicted serum homocysteine levels (Levine et al. 2008).

**PTSD and proinflammatory cytokines**

Peripheral and chronic low-grade inflammation reflected by elevated circulating levels of proinflammatory cytokines might also contribute to increased CVD risk. High levels of interleukin 6 (IL-6), interleukin 1β (IL-1β) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), along with CRP, are associated with increased risk of morbidity and mortality in the older subjects (Michaud et al. 2013). Proinflammatory cytokines are involved in platelet activation and endothelial dysfunction (Michaud et al. 2013). Particularly IL-1β and IL-6 are considered to be involved in a development and acceleration of atherosclerosis (Lopppnow et al. 2011).

A significant relationship between PTSD and immune dysfunction has been suggested (Kibler et al. 2014). A recent meta-analysis of inflammatory markers between patients with PTSD and healthy controls has found higher IL-6, IL-1β, and interferon γ levels in the PTSD group, although there was a large amount of heterogeneity among studies (Passos et al. 2015). In addition, PTSD duration was positively associated with IL-1β levels and severity was related to IL-6 (Passos et al. 2015).

On the contrary, 69 Croatian veterans with PTSD did not show alterations in circulating levels of CRP or the following pro-inflammatory cytokines: IL-1β, TNF-α and IL-6, in comparison to healthy controls (Jergović et al. 2015). However, in this study the levels of TNF-α and IL-6 were measured by Multiplex Assay® which was not sensitive enough to allow quantitative analyses.

Interestingly, Himmerich et al. (2016) were the first to investigate the effect of psychiatric therapy and specifically inpatient psychotherapy including EMDR on serum levels of TNF-α in a sample of German soldiers suffering from PTSD. Their findings showed that psychotherapeutic management of PTSD is associated with significant changes in the TNF-α system.

**PTSD and BDNF**

Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) is an important neurotrophin, involved in neurogenesis and neural plasticity of the brain. In addition to brain tissue, BDNF is also present in plasma, serum, whole blood and blood cells. Brain tissue is the largest source of circulating BDNF, contributing to as much as 70-80% of plasma BDNF (Homburg et al. 2014).

Stress has been widely linked to abnormal BDNF homeostasis, which, in turn, plays a negative role in the pathophysiology of several psychiatric disorders, including PTSD (Kelmendi et al. 2016) and depression (Karlovic et al. 2013). Low BDNF levels are also proposed to be a biological marker of suicidal behavior in the general and in certain populations of psychiatric patients (Sher 2011). Unlike in major depressive disorder (MDD), contrasting findings have been reported regarding peripheral levels of BDNF in patients with PTSD.

In patients with PTSD, peripheral BDNF levels were decreased in patients with different types of non-combat related trauma (Dell’Ossio et al. 2009) and L’Aquila earthquake survivors, unchanged in subjects with traffic accidents (Su et al. 2015, van den Heuvel et al. 2016), and even increased in subjects severely injured in motor vehicle accidents (Matsuoka et al. 2013) and with non-specified trauma (Hauck et al. 2010). It was also reported that BDNF is higher early after trauma, and decreases thereafter (Hauck et al. 2010). Periperal BDNF levels were positively correlated with impulsiveness in 23 Italian PTSD patients with no specified trauma (Martinotti et al. 2015). However, baseline serum BDNF levels were not correlated with the severity of PTSD symptoms in a small sample of 16 veterans and civilians (Berger et al. 2010). In addition, 16 patients with civilian PTSD due to physical and/or sexual abuse or motor vehicle accidents had no change in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) BDNF levels, compared to non-traumatized healthy controls (Bonne et al. 2011).
In the Framingham Study, low serum BDNF levels were associated with increased risk of stroke (Pikula et al. 2013) and plasma BDNF levels were low in patients with heart failure (Takashio et al. 2015). It was hypothesized that BDNF can inhibit inflammation (Singh et al. 2014). Decreased BDNF levels have been proposed as a risk factor for CVD, obesity, diabetes (Singh et al. 2014) and as a biomarker of heart failure (Takashio et al. 2015). Conversely, higher serum levels are associated with a decreased risk of CVD and mortality (Kaess et al. 2015).

In spite of extensive investigation of peripheral BDNF levels in PTSD related to different types of traumatic events, there is no data on BDNF levels in war veterans. Unlike PTSD, after natural disasters and traffic accidents, which are associated with single events, combat-related PTSD is related to numerous traumatic events during a prolonged period of time. Although not separated in current diagnostic classifications, combat-related and civilian PTSD might have somewhat different clinical presentation, severity, and biological background. Therefore, findings from the studies on subjects with non-combat related PTSD might not generalize to war veterans suffering from PTSD. For example, animal studies suggest that surviving more than one traumatic event (i.e., multiple hits) might be a critical contributor to the development of PTSD (Finsterwald et al. 2015). In addition, a higher total number of traumatic experiences during the war in Croatia was a significant predictor of all three clusters of PTSD symptoms (Lončar et al. 2014).

Quantitative electroencephalogram (qEEG)

Quantitative electroencephalogram (qEEG) has revealed several abnormalities in patients with PTSD, such as changes in EEG rhythm (Begić et al. 2001) and asymmetry (Meyer et al. 2015). Clinical experience has indicated that particular symptom clusters differently influence qEEG. Hyperarousal symptoms have been associated with increased sympathetic activity, which might influence EEG in terms of increasing β rhythm and frontal asymmetry. While chronic autonomic activation is proposed to be one among several links between PTSD and increased CV risk (Kibler et al. 2014), the association between qEEG and CV risk factors remains unknown and further empirical studies are warranted.

Psychological factors

In addition to the biological factors, some psychological characteristics of individuals suffering from PTSD are believed to contribute to the development of CVD. Personality traits, conceptualized as dimensions of individual differences in tendencies to show consistent patterns of thoughts, feelings, and actions across developmental periods and contexts (McCrae & Costa 2003), play an important role in the development and symptomatic expressions of PTSD (DiGangi et al. 2013, Jakšić et al. 2012). PTSD severity is consistently related to trait neuroticism/harm avoidance (i.e., a tendency to experience negative mood and react with high anxiety and worry to adverse events) and trait impulsivity/hostility (DiGangi et al. 2013, Jakšić et al. 2012, Orth & Wieland 2006), independent of other factors such as age, early-life trauma and frequency of war-related traumatic events (Stevanović et al. 2016). It seems personality traits might lead to increased CVD risks through the augmentation of PTSD symptom severity.

Significant links were reported between personality traits and cardiovascular distress in the general population. Higher levels of trait anger and hostility were prospectively associated with angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, and angiographic severity of coronary heart disease among older community-dwelling men (Kawachi et al. 1996). Adult male inpatients who had experienced acute myocardial infarction exhibited increased trait impulsivity and trait anxiety when compared to a healthy control group (Milicic et al. 2016). In recent years, the concept of type-D personality was formulated by Denollet (2005), in response to the findings that depression and low perceived social support are related to cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. He proposed a personality type that might predispose to depression and social isolation by combining two personality traits, namely negative affectivity (the tendency to experience negative emotions) and social inhibition (the tendency to inhibit self expression in social interactions). Type-D personality has been shown to predict adverse clinical outcomes in patients after acute coronary syndrome and revascularisation, and in patients with chronic heart failure (Denollet et al. 2006, Pedersen & Denollet 2003). It is also associated with heightened proinflammatory cytokine activation in patients with heart failure (Denollet et al. 2003), and with disturbances in cortisol secretion after acute coronary syndrome (Whitehead et al. 2007). More recently, type-D personality was associated with coronary artery plaque presence and severity in people with no history of cardiovascular disease, even after adjustment for typical cardiac risk factors (Compare et al. 2014).

Plausible pathophysiological pathways linking personality to cardiovascular complications and adverse clinical outcomes, might be related to hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal-axis hyperreactivity, autonomic and inflammatory dysregulation, and increased oxidative stress (Chida & Steptoe 2009, Denollet & Conraads 2011). Indeed, a meta-analytic investigation has found a trend toward significance in the association between type-D personality and biomarkers of CVD (ODell et al. 2011). For example, there is some evidence that individuals with high neuroticism have a blunted cortisol response to stress, reflecting down-regulation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis due to prolonged cortisol elevation (Lahey 2009). Neuroticism was also associated with higher leukocyte counts (Lahey 2009), and leukocyte count is considered important as a
potential indicator of inflammation and chronic infection, and is a predictor of mortality from all causes, particularly from CVD (Margolis et al. 2005). Additionally, a relationship between personality and cardiovascular morbidity could be partially explained by particular behavioral mechanisms. One study found that patients with type-D personality with chronic heart failure were less likely to report cardiac symptoms such as swollen legs and feet or shortness of breath to clinical staff (Schiffer et al. 2007), as a consequence of higher levels of social inhibition (i.e. type-D personality trait). However, type-D personality did not predict the development of PTSD in a prospective study on Dutch soldiers (Rademaker et al. 2011). Unlike social inhibition, negative affectivity independently predicted PTSD symptoms (Rademaker et al. 2011), which further suggested the role of neuroticism in PTSD. While type-D personality might not predict PTSD, it is still unknown whether it contributes to CVD risk in patients who already have PTSD.

To the best of our knowledge, no prior research has simultaneously investigated the role of CVD risk factors and personality traits in predicting cardiac events among individuals suffering from PTSD. There is a lack of empirical data on complex interrelations between somatic CVD risk factors, personality traits, and cardiac outcomes in the PTSD population, despite the evidence obtained from community settings and from those treated for coronary heart disease. Considering an increasing understanding of neurobiological underpinnings of human personality traits, including their associations with systemic inflammation (e.g., CRP and IL-6) and BDNF (Luchetti et al. 2014), future empirical studies on personality-cardiovascular risk factors relationship are necessary. This could lead to more specific screening methods in detecting PTSD patients with a particularly high risk for developing CVD. Finally, in addition to reducing the CVD risk by ameliorating specific symptoms of PTSD with standardized trauma-focused psychotherapeutic methods, it might also be further reduced through beneficial effect of antidepressant medications (e.g. paroxetine) on personality traits (Tang et al. 2009).

Behavioral factors

The relationship between PTSD and increased risk for CVD can be enhanced by unhealthy lifestyles. These, in turn, are dependent on individual’s personality traits, particularly the abovementioned ones: neuroticism/harm avoidance and impulsiveness/hostility. Most notably, high prevalence of CV disorders in patients with PTSD might be related to increased frequency of cigarette smoking, with some reports suggesting up to 86% smoking rates in clinical PTSD samples (Kelly et al. 2015). Smoking behavior might also differ in patients with PTSD, in terms of more difficult smoking cessation and stronger withdrawal symptoms (Kelly et al. 2015). Similar findings have been reported on the alcohol and other toxic substances’ abuse in this population, also linking it to self-medication of anxiety and hyperarousal symptoms (Edmondson & Cohen 2013). In addition, a recent meta-analysis has confirmed an association between PTSD and increased BMI (Suliman et al. 2016). Here, decreased physical activity and unhealthy eating habits in patients with PTSD seem to be related to obesity (Hall et al. 2015).

There is preliminary evidence that changes in physical activity or diet may decrease PTSD and depressive symptoms among people with PTSD (Hall et al. 2015, Rosenbaum et al. 2015). These targeted interventions could prove to be highly useful because sedentary behavior is strongly connected to other cardiac risk factors, such as increased blood pressure, insulin resistance, and high cholesterol levels (Brock et al. 2005). Once again, an indirect effect of personality traits on the increased risk of CVD in PTSD populations could in part be reflected in unhealthy life habits of these individuals. For example, previous studies have linked alcohol/ substance use and unhealthy emotional eating to trait neuroticism/harm avoidance and impulsivity in general population (Dick et al. 2010, Keller & Siegrist 2015). More research is needed to uncover specific relations, including potential mediating and moderating factors, among biological, psychological and behavioral risk factors for CVD in patients with PTSD.

PTSD AND CVDS FROM THE MIND-BODY MEDICINE PERSPECTIVE: RESILIENCE TYING THE MIND, BRAIN AND HEART TOGETHER

Resilience is increasingly recognized as an important determinant for better understanding and successful treatment of PTSD and CVDs. Resilience may be defined as a collection of protective factors that mediate the relationship between a traumatic stress, disease and positive outcomes. Individuals may be resilient in one domain and not in others, or they may be resilient at one time period and not at other periods of their lives. Resilience enables an individual not only to adapt but also to be better off and to grow in addition to overcoming a specific adversity. Patients with low resilience scores have less ability to deal with traumatic stress and other challenges related to the process of becoming ill (Cal et al. 2015). Both stress and resilience have been related to psychophysiological processes that may influence on both PTSD and CVDs. Strategies to enhance resilience fostering coherence, collaboration, competence, and confidence are investigated and developed aiming to improve prognosis in patients with PTSD (Connor 2006) and CVDs (Kralik et al. 2006, Lemos et al. 2015, Nabi & Kan 2017).

According to the PASTOR (the positive appraisal style theory of resilience), diminished resilience on exposure to traumatic stress is the key pathophysiological mechanism in PTSD (Kalisch et al. 2015). Quite
a number of neurobiological papers (Dudley et al. 2011, Franklin et al. 2012, Russo et al. 2012, Pflü & Russo 2015, James et al. 2015) have enumerated long list of epigenetic mechanisms, molecules or brain systems (glutamate receptors in hippocampus, ΔFosB, FKBP5 polymorphism, apoE gene, dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), oxytocin, brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), or serotonin, HHA axis, the brain reward system, the neural circuitry of fear, the appraisal system, etc.) which are associated with resilience, PTSD and CVDs. Given the role of the above mentioned biological factors in cardiovascular disorders, the intricate connections between PTSD and increased CVD risk are even more evident. The worldwide trends have focused on promoting and maintaining resilience, well-being and health rather than providing secondary treatment (Nabi & Khan 2017).

Resilience and the heart

There is a growing body of evidence showing that assertive behavior, positive emotions, cognitions and personality traits have been associated with better cardiovascular health. Resilient persons use positive emotions and cognitions to rebound from traumatic experiences effectively and find positive meaning in adversity. According to Arrebola-Moreno et al. (2014) self-efficacy – a component of resilience – was negatively related to both myoglobin and troponin after the acute coronary event suggesting that resilience decreases the extent of the myocardial infarction by affecting the inflammatory response and so showing a protective effect. Cardiac vagal tone and resilience interact synergistically in the promotion of stress recovery (Souza et al. 2007). It is presumed that positive emotions and cognitions facilitate resilience to acute and chronic stress as well as that greater vagal tone is associated with more endurance under stressful and traumatic situations. Some research indicates that improved resilience in cardiovascular patients resulted in their higher satisfaction and quality of life (Nouri-Saed et al. 2015).

Resilience and the brain

Allostasis is determined by the activity of the CNS. Brain is the most important organ for determining what is perceived as stressful, dangerous and harmful, but it is also a target organ of stress. Resilience is an example of successful allostasis in which wear and tear is minimized (Logan & Barksdale 2008). Traumatic stress stimulates CNS and hypothalamic pituitary tract which leads to allostatic overload, central obesity, insulin resistance, inflammation, arterial hypertension, platelet activation, and endocrine dysfunction leading to the development of CVDs (Logan 2008, Nabi & Khan 2017). According to some authors, DHEA, neuropeptide Y, galanin, serotonin, oxytocin, testosterone, estrogen, and benzodiazepine receptors are resilience promoting molecules, while the release of corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) and cortisol as well as the locus ceruleus norepinephrine system may tend to undermine resilience (Charney 2004, Yehuda et al. 2006, van Zuiden et al. 2017). Interestingly enough low DHEA/cortisol ratio was proposed as useful biological resilience marker in patients with PTSD. According to Polyvagal Theory (Porges 2007), modulation of vagal tone is very important mode through which the brain circuits regulate the stress responses and quick recovery from traumatic effects.

Resilience and the mind

The perception of brain and appraisal of events are influenced by personal frame of reference related to personality structure and dynamics involving genes, individual experiences, early development and learned behaviors. It is well known that if patients with PTSD are able to find a purpose, or a reason for which something should be done, and set themselves to do this task, they will be able to achieve personal recovery through the power of resilience. The hardy-resilient style, which distinguishes healthy stress responders from unhealthy ones, is associated with higher high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels (Bartone et al. 2008). The hard-resilient style involves 1. a strong sense of commitment, a belief that individual can control or influence outcomes; 2. an exploring approach to living, a sense of challenge; and 3. a strong future orientation, living at the present and learning from the past; 4. a sense of humor and optimism. Some studies have observed that the type-D personality, which is a risk factor for CVD, was positively correlated with PTSD symptoms, and negatively correlated with resilience (Cho & Kang 2017).

CONCLUSION

There are several biological, psychological and behavioral mechanisms which contribute to CVD morbidity and mortality in patients with PTSD. Relationships among all these factors are complex and yet incompletely understood. Resilience is the capacity for and process of successful overcoming traumatic stress. Given a high prevalence of CVD in patients with PTSD, there is an urgent need for a more active monitoring, treatment (Beristianos et al. 2016), increasing resilience and multidisciplinary management (Jakovljević et al. 2012, Rosenbaum et al. 2015) of PTSD patients, particularly war veterans. Potential biomarkers, as well as some personality and behavioral characteristics, may be useful for assessing both the CVD risks and the utility of potential interventions and resilience training. We believe that a thorough monitoring and treatment of generally recognized main CVD risk factors might significantly decrease cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in this particularly vulnerable population.
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